WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

2		1.	A method for referring patients to practitioners said method
3	comprising:		
4		certify	ring a group of practitioners to perform a medical procedure;
5		identi	fying individual patients who wish to receive the procedure; and
6		provid	ling to the identified individual patients a list of certified practitioners,
7	wherein those	practit	ioners who have performed more procedures than others of the
8	practitioners are placed preferentially on the list.		
1		2.	A method as in claim 1, wherein certifying the practitioners comprises
2	training pract	itioners	
1		3.	A method as in claim 1 or 2, wherein certifying the practitioners
2	comprises testing the practitioners.		
1		4.	A method as in claim 1 or 2, wherein certifying comprises requiring
2	that the practitioners have performed at least one procedure.		
1		5.	A method as in claim 1, further comprising removing practitioners
2	from the certi	fied gro	up.
1		6.	A method as in claim 1, wherein the practitioners are placed into tiers
2	based on the	number	of procedures performed and wherein practitioners from higher tiers are
3	preferentially	placed	on lists.
1		7.	A method as in claim 6, wherein the individual practitioners are
2	randomly ord	ered wit	thin a tier.
1		8.	A method as in claim 6 or 7, wherein each tier is defined by a threshold
2	number of pro	ocedure	s performed over a selected period of time.
1		9.	A method as in claims 6 or 7, wherein each tier is defined by the
2	aggregate nur	nber of	procedures performed.
1		10.	A method as in claim 6, wherein the practitioners are assigned to at
2	least three tie	rs.	

1		11.	A method as in claim 10, wherein the practitioners are assigned to an
2	initial tier who	en they	become certified, to an intermediate tier when they treat a first threshold
3	number of pat	ients ov	ver a preselected time period, and to a higher tier when they treat a
4	second thresho	old num	nber of patients over the preselected time period.
1		12.	A method as in claim 1, wherein identifying individual patients
2	comprises col		names and contact information from individual patients.
	1		
1		13.	A method as in claim 12, wherein at least some of the individual
2	patients conta	ct the co	pordinator in response to solicitations.
1		14.	A method for referring patients to dental practitioners, said method
2	comprising:		
3		certify	ring dental practitioners to perform a dental procedure;
4		inform	ning a potential patient population of the availability of the procedure;
5	•	identif	Tying individual patients who wish to receive the procedure;
6		provid	ling to the identified individual patients referral lists of certified
7	practitioners v	vherein	individual practitioners are preferentially placed on the referral lists
8	based on one	or more	performance criteria.
1		15.	A method as in claim 14, wherein the performance criteria include the
2	number of der	ital proc	cedures performed over a preselected time period.
1		16.	A method as in claim 14 or 15, wherein the performance criteria
2	include data re	elating t	to successful patient outcomes.
1		17	A mostle disc in alaim 14 mhanain informaine commisse calibration
1	nationta	17.	A method as in claim 14, wherein informing comprises soliciting
2	patients.		
1		18.	A method as in claim 17, wherein soliciting comprises advertising in
2	print and/or el	ectronic	c media.
1		19.	A method as in claim 14, wherein certifying the dental practitioners
2	comprises trai		e dental practitioners.
2	comprises dai	imig un	dental practitioners.
1		20.	A method as in claim 14 or 19, wherein certifying the dental
2	practitioners c	ompris	es testing the dental practitioners.

1 2

1	21.	A method as in claim 14 or 19, wherein certifying comprises requiring	
2	that the dental practi	tioners have performed at least one procedure.	
1	22.	A method as in claim 14, further comprising removing dental	
2	practitioners from th	e certified group.	
1	23.	A method as in claim 15, wherein the dental practitioners are placed	
2	into tiers based on th	ne number of procedures performed and wherein the tiers are arranged in	
3	order on the list.		
1	24.	A method as in claim 23, wherein the individual dental practitioners	
2	are randomly ordere	d within a tier.	
1	25.	A method as in claim 23, wherein the dental practitioners are assigned	
2	to at least three tiers		
1	26.	A method as in claim 23 to 25, wherein each tier is defined by a	
2	threshold number of	procedures performed over a selected period of time.	
1	27.	A method as in claim 23 to 25, wherein each tier is defined by an	
2	aggregate number of	f procedures performed.	
1	28.	A method as in claim 25, wherein the dental practitioners are assigned	
2	to an initial tier whe	n they become certified, to an intermediate tier when they treat a first	
3	threshold number of patients over a preselected time period, and to a higher tier when they		
4	treat a second thresh	old number of patients over the preselected time period.	
1	29.	A method as in claim 14, wherein identifying individual patients	
2	comprises collecting	g names and contact information from individual patients who contact a	
3	coordinator.		
1	30.	A method as in claim 29, wherein at least some of the individual	
2	patients contact a re	ferral center who produces the referral list in response to solicitations	
3	from the coordinato	r.	

31.

said method comprising:

A method for referring patients to practitioners to perform a procedure

3	maintaining a referral directory which includes contact information for		
4	practitioners, wherein the practitioners are certified to perform the procedure and are		
5	prioritized in a plurality of tiers depending on the number of procedures that they have		
6	performed;		
7	soliciting and receiving inquiries from prospective patients regarding the		
8	procedure; and		
9	referring to individual patients at their requests practitioners within the		
10	patient's geographic area, wherein practitioners are selected from the referral directory in a		
11	manner which preferentially presents practitioners from tiers which require a higher number		
12	of procedures relative to practitioners from tiers which require a lower threshold number of		
13	procedures.		
1	32. A method as in claim 31, wherein maintaining the referral directory		
2	comprises:		
3	certifying practitioners to perform the procedure; and		
4	tracking the number of times each certified practitioner performs the		
5	procedure to produce a performance number for each practitioner;		
6	periodically updating the referral directory to reflect updated performance		
7	numbers.		
1	33. A method as in claim 32, wherein the performance number comprises		
1 2	the aggregate number of procedures performed by an individual practitioner.		
2	the aggregate number of procedures performed by an individual practitioner.		
1	34. A method as in claim 32, wherein the performance number comprises		
2	the number of procedures performed by an individual practitioner over a predetermined time		
3	interval.		
1	35. A method as in claim 31, wherein the plurality of tiers is at least three.		
1	36. A method as in claim 31, wherein soliciting comprises advertising and		
2	providing contact information that permits a patient to contact a referral center.		
1	37. A method as in claim 36, wherein the referral is a person.		
1	38. A method as in claim 36, wherein the coordinator is an automated		
2	response system.		

1	39. A method as in claim 31, wherein referring comprises:		
2	determining the location of the patient's geographic area; and		
3	generating from the referral directors a referral list of practitioners within the		
4	patient's geographic area, wherein the list includes a number of practitioners selected and/or		
5	arranged so that practitioners from higher tiers are preferentially referred.		
1	40. A method as in claim 39, wherein the higher tiered practitioners are		
2	placed on lists in any geographic area more often than lower tiered practitioners.		
1	41. A method as in claim 39, wherein the higher tiered practitioners are		
2	placed earlier on a single list than the lower tiered practitioners.		
1	42. A method as in claim 31 wherein referring comprises:		
2	determining the location of the patient's geographic area; and		
3	selecting a single practitioner to be referred to the patient, wherein		
4	practitioners from higher tiers are selected more often than those from lower tiers.		
1	43. A method as in claims 39 or 42, wherein all practitioners are included		
2	on at least some referral lists.		
1	44. A method as in claim 31, wherein the referral directory comprises an		
2	electronic database, wherein soliciting comprises receiving voice or data inquiries from		
3	potential patients at a central location, and wherein referring comprises generating a referral		
4	list from the electronic database and responding to the inquiry by providing the referral list to		
5	the patient.		
1	45. A method as in claim 44, wherein generating the referral list comprises		
2	presenting an ordered list of practitioners on a display screen and responding comprises		
3	reading at least some of the names of the practitioners to the potential patients.		
1	46. A method for maintaining a referral directory, said method comprising:		
2	certifying practitioners to perform a medical procedure;		
3	tracking the number of times each certified practitioner performs the		
4	procedure; and		
5	maintaining a patient referral directory, wherein the practitioners are		
6	prioritized on the list based on the number of times each has performed the procedure.		

1	47.	A method as in claim 46, wherein certifying the practitioners
2	comprises training pr	ractitioners.
1	48.	A method as in claim 46 or 47, wherein certifying the practitioners
2	comprises testing the	practitioners.
1	49.	A method as in claim 46 or 47, wherein certifying comprises requiring
2	that the practitioners	have performed at least one procedure.
1	50.	A method as in claim 46, further comprising removing practitioners
2	from the certified gro	
1	51.	A method as in claim 46, wherein tracking comprises determining the
2		ractitioner acquires a kit to perform the procedure on a patient.
1	52.	A method as in claim 46, further comprising dividing the directory
2	based on geographic	
1	53.	A method as in claim 52, wherein the list is divided into at least two
2		ers who have performed more than a first threshold number of procedures
3	being in a higher tier.	
1	54	A method as in claim 53, wherein the list is divided into at least three
1	54.	
2	tiers with practitioners who have performed more than a first threshold number of procedures	
3	being in a higher tier, those who have performed more than a second threshold number but	
4	less than the first being in a lower tier, and those who have performed less than the second	
5	threshold number be	ing in a still lower tier.
1	55.	A method as in any of claims 47, and 50 to 54, wherein the number of
2	times the procedure is performed is measured periodically over a fixed time interval and the	
3	directory periodicall	y updated.
1	56	A method as in any of claims 47, and 50 to 54, wherein the

2 practitioners are not ordered within a tier.

1	5/. A method as in any of claims 4/, and 50 to 54, wherein the		
2	practitioners are further ranked within each tier based on the number of procedures		
3	performed.		
1	58. A method as in claim 46, wherein the directory is maintained in an		
1			
2	electronic database.		
1	59. A method as in claim 58, further comprising generating a referral list		
2	for an individual patient from the patient referral directory, wherein the listed is generated		
3	automatically from the electronic database based on the patient's geographic location and		
4	wherein practitioners with a higher priority have an increased likelihood of appearing on any		
5	referral list.		
1	60. A computer system for generating a list of medical practitioners for		
1			
2	referring to potential patients, said system comprising:		
3	means for maintaining a referral directory which includes contact information		
4	for practitioners who are certified to perform a procedure, wherein the practitioners are		
5	prioritized in a plurality of tiers depending on the number of procedures that they have		
6	performed; and		
7	means for sorting practitioners on the referral directory to produce a referral		
8	list in response to an inquiry from a prospective patient in a particular geographic location		
9	wherein practitioners are first sorted based on proximity to the geographic location and then		
10	preferentially selected based on the tier to which the practitioner has been assigned.		
1	61. A computer system as in claim 60, wherein the means for maintaining		
2	comprises an electronic database that is updateable to add and remove practitioners and to		
3	change the tier status of individual practitioners.		
1	62. A computer system as in claim 60 or 61, wherein the electronic		
2	database includes at least three tiers with practitioners who have performed more than a first		
3	threshold number of procedures being in a higher tier, those who have performed more than a		
4	second threshold number but less than the first threshold number being in a lower tier, and		
•	become imposition and real man and real management of the view, and		

those who have performed less than the second threshold number being in a still lower tier.

1	63.	A computer system as in claim 62, wherein the number of times the
2	procedure is performed is measured over a fixed time interval.	
1	64.	A computer system as in claim 62, wherein the number of times the
2	procedure is performe	d is cumulative.
1	65.	A computer system as in claim 60, wherein the practitioners are not
2	ordered within a tier.	
1	66.	A computer system as in claim 60, wherein the practitioners are further
2	ranked within each tie	er based on the number of procedures performed.
1	67.	A computer system as in claim 60, wherein the means for sorting will
2	select a plurality of pr	actitioners for each referral list with at least practitioners from the
3	highest tier being selected most often, those from the lower tier being selected less often, and	
4	those from the still lov	wer tier being selected still less often.
1	68.	A computer system as in claim 60, wherein only a single practitioner is
2	selected for each refer	ral list, with at least practitioners from the highest tier being selected
3	most often, those from the lower tier being selected less often, and those from the still lower	
4	tier being selected stil	l less often.
1	69.	A computer system as in claim 60, wherein all practitioners are
2	selected at least some	of the time.
1	70.	A computer system as in claim 60, further comprising means for
2	inputting data into the	e maintaining means and means for displaying the referral lists.
1		